

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,016	08/26/2003	Martin Alter	M-085	3466
75	90 01/04/2005		EXAM	INER
Eugene H. Valet			PAREKH, NITIN	
ValetParents 314 10th Ave. S	ValetParents 314 10th Ave. South			PAPER NUMBER
Edmonds, WA 98020-3312			2811	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	A 11 41 - 3	1 '\)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/648,016	ALTER, MARTIN	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin Parekh	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	5
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of things: (1) a timely filed amendment (b) peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to ent which places the application	a n in
PERIOD FOI	R REPLY [check either a) or	· b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the	mailing date of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	eriod of extension and the correspo ate of the shortened statutory perio e Office later than three months aff	nding amount of the fee. The appropria d for reply originally set in the final Office	ate extension ce action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 	ant's Brief must be filed with CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	nin the period set forth in missal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require f	further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or		by materially reducing or simpli	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because	st for reconsideration has be e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does NOT pl	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were no	∍wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amenda explanation of how the new or amended claim			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,3,6-	8 and 10-12.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	• •		
_	oo(o)(
10. Other:		NetriParelch	
		·	
		Nitin Parekh Primary Examiner Technology Center 280	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed limitations as recited in the independent claim 1 warrant further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 9 is held prima facie obvious over the applied references due to the reasons of record.